arXiv:hep-th/9306102v1 21 Jun 1993

Construction of the classical R-matrices for the Toda and Calogero models.

J. Avan, O.Babelon, M.Talon. *

June 1993

Abstract

We use the definition of the Calogero-Moser models as Hamiltonian reductions of geodesic motions on a group manifold to construct their R-matrices. In the Toda case, the analogous construction yields constant R-matrices. By contrast, for Calogero-Moser models they are dynamical objects.

PAR LPTHE 93-31

Work sponsored by CNRS, France.

*L.P.T.H.E. Université Paris VI (CNRS UA 280), Box 126, Tour 16, 1^{er} étage, 4 place Jussieu, F-75252 PARIS CEDEX 05

1 Introduction

The unification by Faddeev and his school [1, 4] of the classical and quantum inverse scattering method with the Yang-Baxter equation was one of the important achievements in the modern theory of integrable systems. This lead to the concept of classical R-matrix [2, 3] which encodes the Hamiltonian structure of Lax equations [5].
In the Lax representation of a dynamical system [6], the equations of motion can be written

$$\dot{L} = [M, L]$$

where L and M are elements of a Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$. The primary interest of this representation is to provide us with conserved quantities

$$I_n = \mathrm{Tr}\ (L^n)$$

Integrability in the sense of Liouville [7, 8] requires the existence of a sufficient number of conserved quantities in involution under the Poisson bracket. Hence a dynamical system represented by a Lax pair will be a natural candidate to integrability if the I_n's commute. The commutation of the I_n's is equivalent to the existence of an R matrix [9]

$$\{L_1, L_2\} = [R_{12}, L_1] - [R_{21}, L_2] \tag{1.1}$$

where the notation is as follows. If e_i is a basis of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$, then $L = \sum_i L^i e_i$ and

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 = \sum_i L^i\ e_i \otimes 1 \qquad & L_2 = \sum_i L^i\ 1 \otimes e_i \\ \{L_1, L_2\} \ &= \ \sum_{i,j} \{L^i, L^j\}\ e_i \otimes e_j \\ R_{12} = \sum_{i,j} R^{ij}\ e_i \otimes e_j \qquad & R_{21} = \sum_{i,j} R^{ij}\ e_j \otimes e_i \end{aligned}$$

Remarks.
– 1) The Lax operator L and the R-matrix encode all the information about the dynamical system. In particular when the Hamiltonian is chosen to be I_n, the M matrix of the corresponding flow reads

$$M_n = -n\,\mathrm{Tr}_2\, R_{12} L_2^{n-1} \tag{1.2}$$

– 2) The R-matrix in general is a non-constant function on the phase space. The first examples of such R-matrices occurred in [10].
– 3) Since the above formula expresses only the involution property of the eigenvalues of the Lax matrix L, every conjugate matrix $L^g = g^{-1}Lg$, where g is any group valued function on the phase space, also admits an R-matrix which can be explicitely computed.
– 4) Although this theorem guarantees the existence of an R-matrix if one knows that the I_n's are in involution, it does not provide a practical way to find it. Experienced scholars in this domain know that it is in general not an easy task to find an R-matrix, and it is desirable to have a constructive way to obtain them.

We present here such a constructive scheme to obtain the R-matrices for the Calogero-Moser models. The standard Calogero-Moser model [11, 13, 12] describes a set of n particles submitted to the equations of motion

$$\ddot{q}_i = \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\cosh(q_i - q_j)}{\sinh^3(q_i - q_j)}$$

This model admits a Lax representation with

$$L = p + \sum_{k \neq l} \frac{i}{\sinh(q_k - q_l)} E_{kl}$$

where p is a traceless diagonal matrix containing the momenta. The dynamical R-matrix for this model was first found in [17].

It is well known [14, 16, 15] that both the Toda models and the Calogero-Moser models are obtained by Hamiltonian reduction of the geodesic motion on the cotangent bundle T^*G of a Lie group G. Denoting by g, ξ the coordinates on T^*G, we will see that the Poisson structure on T^*G implies the existence of an R-matrix for ξ i.e.

$$\{\xi_1, \xi_2\} = [C_{12}, \xi_1] - [C_{21}, \xi_2]$$

where C_{12} is the quadratic Casimir element in $\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{G}$ and we have used an invariant bilinear form to identify $\mathcal{G}^*$ and $\mathcal{G}$. We shall then use the fact that in the reduction process, the Lax matrix of the reduced system is expressed in terms of ξ by a formula of the type

$$L = h \xi h^{-1}$$

where h is some element in G. The remark then enables us to compute the R-matrix of the reduced system.

In contrast with the Toda case, where the R-matrix is a constant, this method gives us dynamical R-matrices for the Calogero-Moser models. We recover the previously known R-matrix for the standard Calogero-Moser model which corresponds to the symmetric space $Sl(N, \mathbb{C})/SU(n)$.

We will also consider a generalization of the standard Calogero-Moser model associated to the symmetric space $SU(n,n)/S(U(n) \times U(n))$. The Hamiltonian of this system reads

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i^2 + \sum_{i \neq j}^{N} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sinh^2(q_i - q_j)} + \frac{1}{\sinh^2(q_i + q_j)} \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(1+\gamma)^2}{\sinh^2(2q_i)}$$

where γ is an arbitrary real coupling constant. We obtain straightforwardly the R-matrix for this case which had eluded a direct computation scheme.

The construction presented here applies to a Lax representation without spectral parameter. It is known that there exists another Lax representation for the Calogero models depending on a spectral parameter [18]. The corresponding R-matrices were recently computed by E.K. Sklyanin [19]. It would be interesting to find the equivalent scheme yielding these R-matrices.

2 Hamiltonian reduction on cotangent bundles.

2.1 Hamiltonian reduction.

We begin by recalling some well-known facts concerning the Hamiltonian reduction of dynamical systems whose phase space is a cotangent bundle [8]. Let M be a manifold and

$N = T^*M$ its cotangent bundle. N is equipped with the canonical 1-form α whose value at the point $p \in T^*M$ is $\pi^* p$ where π is the projection of N on M. Hence any group of diffeomorphisms of M, lifted naturally to N, namely $\phi \in \mathrm{Diff}(M)$ lifted to $(\phi^*)^{-1} \in \mathrm{Diff}(N)$, leaves α invariant. We shall be especially interested in the case in which a Lie group G acts on M. Each element $X \in \mathcal{G}$ (the Lie algebra of G) generates a vector field on M that we shall denote $X.m$ at the point $m \in M$. It is the derivative of the application $g \to g.m$ at the unit element of G. Since each map $m \to g.m$ is a diffeomorphism of M this lifts to a vector field on N leaving α invariant. We shall also denote $X.p \in T_p(N)$ the value at $p \in N$ of this vector field, so that the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_{X.p}\,\alpha$ of the canonical 1-form vanishes.

Notice that N is a symplectic manifold equipped with the canonical 2-form

$$\omega = -\mathrm{d}\alpha. \tag{2.1}$$

To any function (or Hamiltonian) H on N we associate a vector field X_{H} such that:

$$\mathrm{dH} = i_{X_{\mathrm{H}}}\,\omega \tag{2.2}$$

and conversely since ω is non–degenerate. It is easy to find the Hamiltonian associated to the above vector field $X.p,\ X \in \mathcal{G}$. As a matter of fact we have $0 = \mathcal{L}_{X.p}\,\alpha = \mathrm{d}i_{X.p}\alpha + i_{X.p}\mathrm{d}\alpha$ hence:

$$\mathrm{H}_X(p) = i_{X.p}\,\alpha = \alpha\,(X.p) \tag{2.3}$$

For any two functions F, G on N one defines the Poisson bracket $\{F, G\}$ as a function on N by:

$$\{F, G\} = \omega\,(X_F, X_G) \tag{2.4}$$

The Poisson bracket of the Hamiltonians associated to the group action has a simple expression. This follows from the:

Lemma 2.1 *For any X, Y in $\mathcal{G}$ and $p \in N$ we have:*

$$\omega\,(X.p, Y.p) = \alpha\,([X, Y].p) \text{ and } [X.p, Y.p] \equiv \mathcal{L}_{X.p}\,Y.p = -[X, Y].p$$

Proof. By definition of the Lie bracket:

$$\mathcal{L}_{X.p}\,Y.p = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} e^{-X.t}.\left(Y.(e^{Xt}p)\right)_{|\,t=0} = -[X, Y].p$$

Then from the equations (2.2,2.3) and the invariance of α we get:

$$\omega\,(X.p, Y.p) =< \mathrm{dH}_X, Y.p >= \mathcal{L}_{Y.p}\,\alpha\,(X.p) = \alpha\,([X, Y].p)$$

Noticing that $\omega\,(X.p, Y.p) = \{\mathrm{H}_X, \mathrm{H}_Y\}$ we have shown that the group action is Poissonnian, i.e.:

$$\{\mathrm{H}_X, \mathrm{H}_Y\} = \mathrm{H}_{[X,Y]} \tag{2.5}$$

Obviously the application $X \in \mathcal{G} \to \mathrm{H}_X(p)$, any $p \in N$, is a linear map from $\mathcal{G}$ to the scalars and so defines an element $\mathcal{P}(p)$ of $\mathcal{G}^*$ which is called the momentum at $p \in N$. For any flow induced by a Hamiltonian H invariant under G the momentum is conserved (this is Noëther's theorem):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} < \mathcal{P}, X >= -\{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_X\} = \mathcal{L}_{X.p}\,\mathrm{H} = 0, \forall X \in \mathcal{G}$$

Hence in this case one can restrict oneself to the submanifold N_μ of N with fixed momentum μ i.e. such that:

$$N_\mu = \mathcal{P}^{-1}(\mu) \tag{2.6}$$

assuming this is a well–defined manifold.

Due to equation (2.3) and the invariance of α the action of the group G on N is transformed by $\mathcal{P}$ into the coadjoint action of G on $\mathcal{G}^*$

$$\mathcal{P}(g.p)(X) = \alpha\left(g.g^{-1}Xg.p\right) = \mathrm{Ad}^*_g\,\mathcal{P}(p)(X) \tag{2.7}$$

where the coadjoint action on an element ξ of $\mathcal{G}^*$ is defined as:

$$\mathrm{Ad}^*_g\,\xi\,(X) = \xi\left(g^{-1}Xg\right)$$

The stabilizer G_μ of $\mu \in \mathcal{G}^*$ acts on N_μ. The reduced phase space is precisely obtained by taking the quotient (assumed well-behaved):

$$\mathcal{F}_\mu = N_\mu/G_\mu \tag{2.8}$$

It is known that this is a symplectic manifold. As a matter of fact, for any two tangent vectors ζ, η at a point $f \in \mathcal{F}_\mu$ one defines:

$$\omega_f\left(\zeta,\eta\right) = \omega_p\left(\zeta',\eta'\right)$$

where ζ',η' are any tangent vectors to N_μ projecting to ζ, η, at some point $p \in N_\mu$ above f. This definition is independent of the choices.

In the following we shall need to compute the Poisson bracket of functions on $\mathcal{F}_\mu$. These functions are conveniently described as G_μ invariant functions on N_μ. To compute their Poisson bracket we first extend them arbitrarily in the vicinity of N_μ. Two extensions differ by a function vanishing on N_μ. The difference of the Hamiltonian vector fields of two such extensions is controlled by the following:

Lemma 2.2 *Let f be a function defined in a vicinity of N_μ and vanishing on N_μ. Then the Hamiltonian vector field X_f associated to f is tangent to the orbit $G.p$ at any point $p \in N_\mu$.*

Proof. The subvariety N_μ is defined by the equations $\mathrm{H}_{X_i} = \mu_i$ for some basis X_i of $\mathcal{G}$. Since f vanishes on N_μ one can write $f = \sum(\mathrm{H}_{X_i} - \mu_i)f_i$ for some functions f_i defined in the vicinity of N_μ. For any tangent vector v at a point $p \in N_\mu$ one has:

$$< \mathrm{d}f(p), v >= \sum_i < \mathrm{dH}_{X_i}(p), v > f_i(p) = \omega\left(\sum_i f_i(p)\, X_i.p, v\right)$$

since the Hamiltonian vector field associated to H_{X_i} is $X_i.p$ and $\sum(\mathrm{H}_{X_i} - \mu_i)\,\mathrm{d}f_i$ vanishes on N_μ. Hence $X_f = \sum f_i(p)\, X_i.p \in \mathcal{G}.p$.

As a consequence of this lemma we have a method to compute the reduced Poisson bracket. We take two functions defined on N_μ and invariant under G_μ and extend them arbitrarily. Then we compute their Hamiltonian vector fields on N and project them on the tangent space to N_μ by adding a vector tangent to the orbit $G.p$. These projections are independent of the extensions and the reduced Poisson bracket is given by the value of the symplectic form on N acting on them.

Proposition 2.1 *At each point $p \in N_\mu$ one can choose a vector $V_f.p \in \mathcal{G}.p$ such that $X_f + V_f.p \in T_p(N_\mu)$ and $V_f.p$ is determined up to a vector in $\mathcal{G}_\mu.p$.*

Proof. Let us notice that the symplectic orthogonal of $\mathcal{G}.p$ is exactly $T_p(N_\mu)$. This is because $\omega(\xi, X.p) = 0$ for any $X \in \mathcal{G}$ means $\mathrm{dH}_X(\xi) = 0$ hence $\xi \in T_p(N_\mu)$ since N_μ is defined by the equations $\mathrm{H}_X = \mu(X)$. Hence $T_p(N_\mu) \cap \mathcal{G}.p = \mathcal{G}_\mu.p$ is the kernel of the symplectic form restricted to $\mathcal{G}.p$. We want to solve $\omega\left(X_f + V_f.p, X.p\right) = 0$, $\forall X \in \mathcal{G}$ i.e.

$$\chi\left(X, V_f\right) = (X.p).f, \ \forall X \in \mathcal{G} \tag{2.9}$$

where we have introduced:

$$\chi\left(X, Y\right) = \omega\left(X.p, Y.p\right) \tag{2.10}$$

Let us remark that this form on N_μ only depends on the momentum μ since assuming that the the group action is Poissonian (2.5) we have:

$$\chi\left(X, Y\right) = \left\{\mathrm{H}_X, \mathrm{H}_Y\right\} = \mathrm{H}_{[X,Y]} = \mathcal{P}\left([X, Y]\right) = \mu\left([X, Y]\right) \tag{2.11}$$

Since $\mathcal{G}_\mu = \left\{X \in \mathcal{G} \,|\, \mathrm{ad}^*_X \mu = 0\right\}$ χ defines a non–degenerate skew–symmetric bilinear form on $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}_\mu$. Finally χ induces a canonical isomorphism $\hat{\mu}\colon \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}_\mu \to (\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}_\mu)^*$ by setting $(\hat{\mu}(Y))(X) = \chi\left(X, Y\right)$. Since f is $\mathcal{G}_\mu$–invariant on N_μ the right–hand side of equation (2.9) defines an element $\lambda_f : \ X \to (X.p) \cdot f$ in $(\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}_\mu)^*$. Then V_f may be seen as an element of $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}_\mu$ given by $\hat{\mu}^{-1}(\lambda_f)$.

For any such functions f, g the reduced Poisson bracket is given by:

$$\{f, g\}_{\text{reduced}} = \omega\left(X_f + V_f.p, X_g + V_g.p\right) = \{f, g\} - \omega\left(V_f.p, V_g.p\right) \tag{2.12}$$

Notice that if $f_{\,|\,N_\mu} = 0$ we have $X_f + V_f.p \in \mathcal{G}_\mu.p$ hence $\{f, g\}_{\text{reduced}} = \omega\left(X_f + V_f.p, X_g + V_g.p\right) = 0$ so that equation (2.12) indeed defines a Poisson bracket on the reduced phase space. From eq. (2.11) we have:

$$\omega\left(V_f.p, V_g.p\right) = < \mu, [V_f, V_g] >$$

which can be further simplified by substituting $X = V_g$ in equation (2.9). By antisymmetrization one gets: $\omega\left(V_f.p, V_g.p\right) = (1/2)\left(\left(V_f.p\right) \cdot g - \left(V_g.p\right) \cdot f\right)$.

Assuming in particular that f and g are G–invariant extensions of our given functions on N_μ it is obvious that $\{f, g\}$ is G–invariant (invariance of ω) hence its restriction to N_μ is G_μ–invariant and independent of the choices. Moreover the associated Hamiltonian vector fields X_f, X_g are tangent to N_μ since the G–invariance of f implies:

$$0 = \mathrm{d}f\left(X.p\right) = \omega\left(X_f, X.p\right) = -\mathrm{dH}_X(X_f), \ X \in \mathcal{G}$$

therefore the functions H_X are constant along X_f i.e. X_f is tangent to N_μ. As a result the symplectic form defined above on $\mathcal{F}_\mu$ yields the same Poisson brackets for f and g as computed by this method.

We have shown the:

Proposition 2.2 *The reduced Poisson bracket of two functions on $\mathcal{F}_\mu$ can be computed using any extensions f, g in the vicinity of N_μ according to:*

$$\{f, g\}_{\text{reduced}} = \{f, g\} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\left(V_g.p\right).f - \left(V_f.p\right).g\right) \tag{2.13}$$

This is equivalent to the Dirac bracket.

2.2 The case $N = T^*G$

If $M = G$ is a Lie group, one can use the left translations to identify $N = T^*G$ with $G \times \mathcal{G}^*$.

$$\omega \in T^*_g(G) \longrightarrow (g, \xi) \quad \text{where} \quad \omega = L^*_{g^{-1}}\xi \tag{2.14}$$

If (v, κ) is a vector tangent to T^*G at the point (g, ξ), the canonical 1-form, invariant under both left and right translations, is given by:

$$\alpha\,(v, \kappa) = \xi\,(g^{-1} \cdot v) \tag{2.15}$$

The right action of G on G produces left invariant vector fields $g.X,\ X \in \mathcal{G}$ which can be lifted to T^*G. The associated Hamiltonians are simply:

$$\mathrm{H}_X = \xi(X) \tag{2.16}$$

The equation (2.5) for right actions becomes $\{\mathrm{H}_X, \mathrm{H}_Y\} = -\mathrm{H}_{[X,Y]}$ as may be seen by considering the left action $g'.g = gg'^{-1}$ hence:

$$\{\xi(X), \xi(Y)\} = -\xi([X, Y]), \tag{2.17}$$

i.e. the Poisson bracket of the ξ's is just the Kirillov bracket. Moreover, since H_X generates a right translation, we have $\{\mathrm{H}_X, g\} = \omega\,(g.X, X_g) = -\mathrm{d}g(g.X) = -g.X$ hence:

$$\{\xi(X), g\} = -g\ X \tag{2.18}$$

Finally, we have a complete description of Poisson brackets with:

$$\{g, g\} = 0 \tag{2.19}$$

Geodesics on the group G correspond to left translations of 1-parameter groups (the tangent vector is transported parallel to itself), therefore

$$\frac{d}{dt}(g^{-1}\dot{g}) = 0 \tag{2.20}$$

This is a Hamiltonian system whose Hamiltonian is:

$$\mathrm{H} = \frac{1}{2}\,(\xi, \xi) \tag{2.21}$$

where we have identified $\mathcal{G}^*$ and $\mathcal{G}$ through the invariant Killing metric.

Notice that H is bi–invariant, so one can attempt to reduce this dynamical system using Lie subgroups H_L and H_R of G of Lie algebras $\mathcal{H}_L$ and $\mathcal{H}_R$, acting respectively on the left and on the right on T^*G in order to obtain a non–trivial result.

Using the coordinates (g, ξ) on T^*G this action reads:

$$(\ (h_L, h_R), (g, \xi)\ ) \rightarrow (h_L g h_R^{-1}, \mathrm{Ad}^*_{h_R}\xi)$$

We have written this action as a left action on T^*G, in order to apply the formalism developed in Section (2.1). The infinitesimal version of this action is given by:

$$(X_L, X_R) \cdot (g, \xi) = (X_L.g - g.X_R, [X_R, \xi]) \tag{2.22}$$

so that the corresponding Hamiltonian can be written:

$$\mathrm{H}_{(X_L, X_R)}(p) = \alpha\,((X_L, X_R).p) = < g\xi g^{-1}, X_L > - < \xi, X_R >$$

This means that the moments are:

$$\mathcal{P}^L(g, \xi) = P_{\mathcal{H}^*}\,\mathrm{Ad}^*_g\,\xi \quad \mathcal{P}^R(g, \xi) = -P_{\mathcal{H}^*}\,\xi \quad \mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}^L, \mathcal{P}^R) \tag{2.23}$$

where we have introduced the projector on $\mathcal{H}^*$ of forms in $\mathcal{G}^*$ induced by the restriction of these forms to $\mathcal{H}$.

3 The Toda model

Let us now apply this construction to obtain the R–matrix of the Toda models. This was first done by Ferreira and Olive [20], but we wish to present here a short discussion of this case since it is a very simple illustration of the general scheme which we shall use in the more complicated case of Calogero models.

3.1 Iwasawa decomposition.

Let G be a complex simple Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$. Let $\{H_i\}$ be the generators of a Cartan subalgebra $\mathcal{H}$, and let $\{E_{\pm\alpha}\}$ be the corresponding root vectors, chosen to form a Weyl basis, i.e. all the structure constants are real. The real normal form of $\mathcal{G}$ is the real Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}_0$ generated over $\mathbb{R}$ by the H_i and $E_{\pm\alpha}$. Let σ be the Cartan involution: $\sigma(H_i) = -H_i$, $\sigma(E_{\pm\alpha}) = -E_{\mp\alpha}$. The fixed points of σ form a Lie subalgebra $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathcal{G}_0$ generated by $\{E_\alpha - E_{-\alpha}\}$. We have the decomposition:

$$\mathcal{G}_0 = \mathcal{K} \oplus \mathcal{M}$$

where $\mathcal{M}$ is the real vector space generated by the $\{E_\alpha + E_{-\alpha}\}$ and the $\{H_i\}$. Notice that due to the choice of the real normal form $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{G}_0$ is a maximal abelian subalgebra of $\mathcal{G}_0$ and it is entirely contained in $\mathcal{M}$. Finally we need the real nilpotent subalgebras $\mathcal{N}_\pm$ generated respectively by the $\{E_{\pm\alpha}\}$.

Let G_0 be the connected Lie group corresponding to the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}_0$, and similarly K corresponding to $\mathcal{K}$ and $N_\pm$ corresponding to $\mathcal{N}_\pm$. Notice that G_0/K is a symmetric space of the non–compact type. Finally the Cartan algebra $\mathcal{A}$ exponentiates to A.

The connected Lie group G_0 admits the following Iwasawa decomposition:

$$G_0 \simeq N_+ \times A \times K \text{ as a manifold}$$

that is any element g in G_0 can be written uniquely $g = nQk$. We shall perform the reduction of the geodesic motion on T^*G_0 by the action of the group N_+ on the left and K on the right.

3.2 The moment map.

The reduction is obtained by a suitable choice of the momentum. We take:

$$P_{\mathcal{K}^*}(\xi) = \mu^R = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

$$P_{\mathcal{N}_+^*}(g^{-1}\xi g) = \mu^L = \sum_{\alpha \text{ simple}} E_{-\alpha} \tag{3.2}$$

where we have identified $\mathcal{N}_+^*$ with $\mathcal{N}_-$ through the Killing form.

The isotropy group G_μ is $N_+ \times K$. This is obvious for the right component since $\mu^R = 0$. The isotropy group of μ^L is by definition the set of elements $g \in N_+$ such that:

$$< \mu^L, g^{-1}Xg > = < \mu^L, X > \ \forall X \in \mathcal{N}_+$$

Since μ^L only contains roots of height -1, the only contribution to $< \mu^L, X >$ comes from $X^{(1)}$, the level one component of X. But $(g^{-1}Xg)^{(1)} = X^{(1)}$ $\forall g \in N_+$. Hence the isotropy group of μ^L is N_+ itself.

3.3 The submanifold N_μ.

Let us first compute the dimension of the reduced phase space. Let $d = \dim \mathcal{G}_0$ and $r = \dim \mathcal{A}$. Then we have:

$$\dim \mathcal{K} = \dim \mathcal{N}_+ = \frac{d-r}{2} \quad \dim T^*G_0 = 2d$$

The dimension of the submanifold N_μ defined by the equations (3.1, 3.2) is $\dim N_\mu = 2d - \dim \mathcal{K} - \dim \mathcal{N}_+ = d + r$ and the dimension of the reduced phase space is $\dim \mathcal{F}_\mu = \dim N_\mu - \dim \mathcal{K} - \dim \mathcal{N}_+ = 2r$ which is the correct dimension of the phase space of the Toda chain.

We now construct a section of the bundle N_μ over $\mathcal{F}_\mu$. Since the isotropy group is the whole of $N_+ \times K$ any point (g, ξ) of N_μ can be brought to the form (Q, L) with $Q \in A$ (due to the Iwasawa decomposition) by the action of the isotropy group. In this subsection we shall identify $\mathcal{G}_0$ and $\mathcal{G}_0^*$ under the Killing form for convenience. Equation (3.1) implies that $L \in \mathcal{M}$ which is the orthogonal of $\mathcal{K}$. Thus we can write:

$$L = p + \sum_\alpha l_\alpha (E_\alpha + E_{-\alpha}), \quad p \in \mathcal{A}$$

Inserting this form into equation (3.2) and setting $Q = \exp(q)$ we get:

$$P_{N_-}\, Q^{-1} L Q = \sum_\alpha l_\alpha \exp \alpha(q) E_{-\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha \text{ simple}} E_{-\alpha}$$

hence $l_\alpha = \exp(-\alpha(q))$ for α simple and $l_\alpha = 0$ otherwise. We have obtained the standard Lax matrix of the Toda chain:

$$L = p + \sum_{\alpha \text{ simple}} e^{-\alpha(q)} \left(E_\alpha + E_{-\alpha}\right) \tag{3.3}$$

The set of the (Q, L) is obviously a $(2r)$–dimensional subvariety $\mathcal{S}$ of N_μ forming a section of the above–mentioned bundle. This means that for any point (g, ξ) in N_μ one can write uniquely $g = nQk$ and $\xi = k^{-1}Lk$.

3.4 The R–matrix of the Toda model.

The function $L(X)$ (for any $X \in \mathcal{M}$) defined on the section $\mathcal{S}$ has a uniquely defined extension on T^*G_0, invariant under the action of the group $N_+ \times K$:

$$F_X(g, \xi) = < \xi, k^{-1} X k > \tag{3.4}$$

where $k = k(g)$ is uniquely determined by the Iwasawa decomposition $g = nQk$. In this situation equation (2.13) has no term $\omega\left(V_f.p, V_g.p\right)$ corresponding to a projection on TN_μ and we have simply:

$$\{L(X), L(Y)\} = \{F_X, F_Y\}$$

This is evaluated immediately with the help of equations (2.17, 2.18, 2.19) and leads on the section $\mathcal{S}$ to:

$$\{L(X), L(Y)\} = -L([X, Y]) + < L, [X, \nabla_g k(Y)|_{\mathcal{S}}] + [\nabla_g k(X)|_{\mathcal{S}}, Y] >$$

where the derivatives of k are defined as:

$$\nabla_g k(X) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} k(g \exp(tX))_{|t=0}$$

Notice that $[X, Y] \in \mathcal{K}$ since G_0/K is a symmetric space hence $L([X, Y]) = 0$. From this equation follows immediately an R–matrix structure for the Toda system given by:

$$RX = \nabla_g k(X)|_{\mathcal{S}}$$

We can compute the derivatives as follows: due to the Iwasawa decomposition we have uniquely

$$QX = X_+ Q + X_a Q + Q X_K, \quad X_+ \in \mathcal{N}_+,\ X_a \in \mathcal{A},\ X_K \in \mathcal{K}$$

and $\nabla k_g(X)|_{\mathcal{S}} = X_K$. Then multiplying this equation by Q^{-1} on the left and noticing that $Q^{-1} X_+ Q \in \mathcal{N}_+$ we get $X_K = \sum_\alpha x_\alpha (E_\alpha - E_{-\alpha})$ when $X = \sum_\alpha x_\alpha (E_\alpha + E_{-\alpha}) + \sum_i x_i H_i$.

In the dualized formalism defined by $RX = \mathrm{Tr}_2(R_{12}.\mathbf{1} \otimes X)$ this R–matrix reads:

$$R_{12} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha > 0} (E_\alpha - E_{-\alpha}) \otimes (E_\alpha + E_{-\alpha}) \tag{3.5}$$

The classical form of the R-matrix for the Toda model reads [21, 22, 23]

$$R_{12}^{\text{standard}} = \sum_{\alpha > 0} E_\alpha \otimes E_{-\alpha} - E_{-\alpha} \otimes E_\alpha$$

Since L in (3.3) is by construction invariant under the Cartan automorphism, one can write the Poisson brackets of $L \otimes \mathbf{1}$ with $\mathbf{1} \otimes L$ using the R-matrix $R_{12}^\sigma = \sigma \otimes \mathbf{1}\ R_{12}^{\text{standard}}$. Adding the two R-operators gives back (3.5). This construction is an application of a general formalism used for instance in the construction of rational multipoles and trigonometric R-matrices [23, 24, 25].

4 The Calogero models

Olshanetski and Perelomov [14] have shown that the Calogero–Moser models can be obtained by applying a Hamiltonian reduction to the geodesic motion on some suitable symmetric space.

4.1 Symmetric spaces.

Let us consider an involutive automorphism σ of a simple Lie group G and the subgroup H of its fixed points. Then H acts on the right on G defining a principal fiber bundle of total space G and base G/H, which is a global symmetric space. Moreover G acts on the left on G/H and in particular so does H itself. We shall consider the situation described in Section (2.2) when $H_L = H_R = H$. The Hamiltonian of the geodesic flow on G/H is invariant under the H action allowing to construct the Hamiltonian reduction which under suitable choices of the momentum leads to the Calogero–Moser models. As a matter of fact since the phase space of the Calogero model is non compact one has to start from a non compact Lie group G and quotient it by a maximal compact subgroup H so that the symmetric space G/H is of the non compact type.

The derivative of σ at the unit element of G is an involutive automorphism of $\mathcal{G}$ also denoted σ. Let us consider its eigenspaces $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ associated with the eigenvalues $+1$ and -1 respectively. Thus we have a decomposition:

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K} \tag{4.1}$$

in which $\mathcal{H}$ is the Lie algebra of H which acts by inner automorphisms on the vector space $\mathcal{K}$ ($h\mathcal{K}h^{-1} = \mathcal{K}$).

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a maximal commuting set of elements of $\mathcal{K}$. It is called a Cartan algebra of the symmetric space G/H. It is known that every element in $\mathcal{K}$ is conjugated to an element in $\mathcal{A}$ by an element of H. Moreover $\mathcal{A}$ can be extended to a maximal commutative subalgebra of $\mathcal{G}$ by adding to it a suitably chosen abelian subalgebra $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{H}$. We shall use the radicial decomposition of $\mathcal{G}$ under the abelian algebra $\mathcal{A}$:

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{A} \bigoplus \mathcal{B} \bigoplus_{e_\alpha,\, \alpha \in \Phi} \mathbb{R} e_\alpha \tag{4.2}$$

As a matter of fact the set of $\alpha \in \Phi$, is a non reduced root system in $\mathcal{A}^*$ known as the root system of the symmetric space G/H. Hence the root spaces are not generically of dimension one. In the following $\sum_\alpha$ will denote the $\sum_{e_\alpha,\, \alpha \in \Phi}$. Equivalently we have the:

Proposition 4.1 *If $e_\alpha \neq 0$ is a root vector associated to the root α, $\sigma(e_\alpha)$ is a root vector associated to some other root denoted $\sigma(\alpha)$. Then Φ is the disjoint union of a subset Φ' and $\sigma(\Phi')$.*

Proof. The root vector e_α is defined by the equation $[q, e_\alpha] = \alpha(q) e_\alpha$, $q \in \mathcal{A}$. Applying to it the automorphism σ one gets:

$$[q, \sigma(e_\alpha)] = \sigma(\alpha)\sigma(e_\alpha),\ \sigma(\alpha)(q) = \alpha(\sigma(q)) \tag{4.3}$$

This shows that $\sigma(e_\alpha)$ is a non zero root vector associated to the linear form $\sigma(\alpha)$ which therefore belongs to Φ. Obviously σ acts as an involutive bijection of Φ allowing to separate it into Φ' and $\sigma(\Phi')$.

These decompositions of $\mathcal{G}$ exponentiate to similar decompositions of G. First $G = KH$ where $K = \exp(\mathcal{K})$. It is known that for a simply connected Lie group G and a non–compact symmetric space G/H, K is diffeomorphic to G/H and $K \times H \to G$ is a diffeomorphism (uniqueness of the so–called Cartan decomposition).

Then $A = \exp(\mathcal{A})$ is a maximal totally geodesic flat submanifold of G/H and any element of K can be written as $k = hQh^{-1}$ with $Q \in A$ and $h \in H$. It follows that any element of G can be written as $g = h_1 Q h_2$ with $h_1, h_2 \in H$.

Of course this decomposition is non unique. This non–uniqueness is described in the following:

Proposition 4.2 *If $g = h_1 Q h_2 = h'_1 Q' h'_2$ we have: $h'_1 = h_1 d^{-1} h_0^{-1}$, $h'_2 = h_0 d h_2$ and $Q' = h_0 Q h_0^{-1}$ where $d \in \exp(\mathcal{B}) = B$ and $h_0 \in H$ is a representative of an element of the Weyl group of the symmetric space. So if we fix $Q = \exp(q)$ such that q be in a fundamental Weyl chamber, the only ambiguity resides in the element $d \in B$.*

Proof. Settinq $h = {h'_1}^{-1} h_1$ and $h' = h'_2 h_2^{-1}$ the equation reads: ${h'}^{-1} h Q = {h'}^{-1} Q' h' \in K$. By uniqueness of the Cartan decomposition ${h'}^{-1} h = 1$ hence $Q' = hQh^{-1}$. The adjoint action

of h sending the centralizer of Q to that of Q' (when Q hence Q' are assumed regular), both being equal to the maximal "torus" AB, we see that h is in the normalizer of AB. But it is known that the quotient of this normalizer in H by the centralizer AB is the so–called Weyl group of the symmetric space G/H. Hence we can write $h = h_0 d$ where $h_0 \in H$ is a representative of this quotient, and $d \in B$. The conclusion follows.

4.2 The moment map

The reduction is obtained by an adequate choice of the momentum $\mu = (\mu^L, \mu^R)$ such that $\mathcal{P} = \mu$. We take $\mu^R = 0$ so that the isotropy group of the right component is H_R itself.

The choice of the moment μ^L is of course of crucial importance. We will consider μ^L's such that

- their isotropy group H_μ is a maximal proper Lie subgroup of H, so that the phase space of the reduced system be of minimal dimension but non trivial.
- In order to ensure the unicity of the decomposition introduced in the Proposition (4.2) on N_μ we shall need:
$$\mathcal{H}_\mu \cap \mathcal{B} = \{0\} \tag{4.4}$$
Obviously $\mathcal{B}$ is an isotropic subspace of the skew–symmetric form χ introduced in (2.10). We shall require that it is a maximal isotropic subspace. We choose a complementary maximal isotropic subspace $\mathcal{C}$ so that
$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_\mu \oplus \mathcal{B} \oplus \mathcal{C} \tag{4.5}$$
and χ is a non–degenerate skew–symmetric bilinear form on $\mathcal{B} \oplus \mathcal{C}$, hence $\dim \mathcal{B} = \dim \mathcal{C}$. Notice that $\mathcal{C}$ is defined up to a symplectic transformation preserving $\mathcal{B}$.
- The reduced phase space $\mathcal{F}_\mu$ has dimension $2 \dim \mathcal{A}$

This is a constraint on the choice of μ that will be verified in the specific examples below.

4.3 The submanifold N_μ

We now give an explicit description of N_μ i.e. we construct a section $\mathcal{S}$ of the bundle N_μ over $\mathcal{F}_\mu$ so that one can write:
$$N_\mu = H_\mu \mathcal{S} H \tag{4.6}$$
To construct this section we take a point Q in A and an $L \in \mathcal{G}^*$ such that the point (Q, L) is in N_μ. In this subsection we shall for convenience identify $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$ under the Killing form assuming that G is semi–simple. Moreover since the automorphism σ preserves the Killing form, $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ are orthogonal, and $P_{\mathcal{H}^*}$ reduces to the orthogonal projection on $\mathcal{H}$. Since $\mu^R = 0$ we have $L \in \mathcal{K}$ and one can write:
$$L = p + \sum_{e_\alpha,\, \alpha \in \Phi'} l_\alpha \left(e_\alpha - \sigma(e_\alpha)\right) \tag{4.7}$$
where $p \in \mathcal{A}$. From equation (2.23) one gets:
$$\mu^L = P_{\mathcal{H}} \left(p + \sum_\alpha l_\alpha \left(Q e_\alpha Q^{-1} - Q\sigma(e_\alpha)Q^{-1}\right)\right)$$

Since $Q = \exp(q),\ q \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $Qe_\alpha Q^{-1} = \exp(\alpha(q))e_\alpha$ and similarly $Q\sigma(e_\alpha)Q^{-1} = \exp(-\alpha(q))\sigma(e_\alpha)$ by exponentiating equation (4.3).

Then the above equation becomes:

$$\mu^L = \sum_\alpha l_\alpha \sinh \alpha(q)\,(e_\alpha + \sigma(e_\alpha)) \tag{4.8}$$

One can choose the momentum of the form: $\mu^L = \sum_\alpha g_\alpha(e_\alpha + \sigma(e_\alpha))$ namely μ^L has no component in $\mathcal{B}$, where the g_α are such that H_μ is of maximal dimension (we shall see that it essentially fixes them, and obviously if $g_\alpha \neq 0$ for any α equation (4.4) is automatically satisfied) and we have shown the:

Proposition 4.3 *The couples (Q, L) with $Q = \exp(q)$ and*

$$L = p + \sum_\alpha \frac{g_\alpha}{\sinh \alpha(q)}\,(e_\alpha - \sigma(e_\alpha))$$

with $p, q \in \mathcal{A}$ form a submanifold in N_μ of dimension $2 \dim \mathcal{A}$.

Notice that L is just the Lax operator of the Calogero model and that the section $\mathcal{S}$ depends of $2 \dim \mathcal{A}$ parameters in an immersive way. Hence one can identify N_μ with the set of orbits of $\mathcal{S}$ under $H_\mu \times H$ i.e. the set of points $(g = h_1 Q h_2, \xi = h_2^{-1} L h_2)$ with $h_1 \in H_\mu$ and $h_2 \in H$ *uniquely defined* due to condition (4.4). The variables p and q appearing in Q and L are the dynamical variables of the Calogero model.

Let us remark that the reduced symplectic structure on $\mathcal{F}_\mu$ may be seen as the restriction on the section $\mathcal{S}$ of the symplectic form ω on N. According to equation (2.15) the restriction to $\mathcal{S}$ of the canonical 1–form is $< L, \mathrm{d}q >= \mathrm{Tr}\,(p\mathrm{d}q)$ since the root vectors e_α are orthogonal to $\mathcal{A}$ under the Killing form. Hence the coordinates (p, q) form a pair of canonically conjugate variables.

4.4 The R–matrix of the Calogero model

We want to compute the Poisson bracket of the functions on $\mathcal{F}_\mu$ whose expressions on the section $\mathcal{S}$ are $L(X)$ and $L(Y)$ for $X, Y \in \mathcal{K}$. These functions have uniquely defined $H_\mu \times H$ invariant extensions to N_μ given respectively by:

$$F_X(g, \xi) =< \xi, h_2^{-1} X h_2 >,\ F_Y(g, \xi) =< \xi, h_2^{-1} Y h_2 > \ \text{ where } g = h_1 Q h_2$$

Notice that h_2 is a well–defined function of g in N_μ due to condition (4.4). According to the prescription given in the section (2.1) we choose extensions of these functions in the vicinity of N_μ. We *define* these extensions at the point $p = (g, \xi) \in T^*G$ by the *same* formulae in which h_2 is chosen to be a function depending *only* on g and reducing to the above–defined h_2 when $p \in N_\mu$. Because of the non–uniqueness of the decomposition $g = h_1 Q h_2$ outside of N_μ one cannot assert that the functions F_X, F_Y are invariant under the action of $H \times H$ and we must appeal to the general procedure to compute the reduced Poisson brackets.

According to the theory developed in section (2.1) it is necessary to compute the projection on $T(N_\mu)$ of the Hamiltonian vector field associated to a function $F_Z(g, \xi) = \xi(h_2^{-1} Z h_2)$. In order to compute the corresponding vector V_F at the point $p = (g, \xi)$ it is convenient to consider only left action of the group $H \times H$ in the forms given in equation (2.22). Then equation (2.9) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} < \xi, g^{-1}[X_L, V_L]g - [X_R, V_R] > \ &= \ < \nabla_g F_Z, g^{-1} X_L g - X_R > \\ &\quad + < [X_R, \xi], \nabla_\xi F_Z > \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

where the F-derivatives are defined as:

$$< \nabla_g F, X >= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} F(g \exp(tX), \xi)_{|t=0} \quad < \eta, \nabla_\xi F >= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} F(g, \xi + t\eta)_{|t=0}$$

For the above–defined function F_Z these derivatives are immediately calculated and the equation (4.9) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} &< \xi, g^{-1}[X_L, V_L]g - [X_R, V_R] >= \\ &\quad < \xi, h_2^{-1}\left[Z, \nabla_g h_2\left(g^{-1}X_L g - X_R\right)h_2^{-1} + h_2 X_R h_2^{-1}\right] h_2 > \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

The equation (4.10) decomposes into two independent equations for the left and right translations, which written on $\mathcal{S}$ read:

$$< L, Q^{-1}[X_L, V_L]Q > \;=\; < L, [Z, \nabla_g h_2\left(Q^{-1}X_L Q\right)] > \tag{4.11}$$

$$< L, [X_R, V_R] > \;=\; < L, [Z, \nabla_g h_2\left(X_R\right) - X_R] > \tag{4.12}$$

In order to further study these equations we first compute $\nabla_g h_2\left(X\right)$ and $\nabla_g h_2\left(Q^{-1}XQ\right)$, $X \in \mathcal{H}$.

Lemma 4.1 *We have on $\mathcal{S}$:*

- *a)* $\nabla_g h_2\left(X\right) = X,\ \forall X \in \mathcal{H}$.
- *b)* $\nabla_g h_2\left(Q^{-1}XQ\right) = D_Q(X),\ \forall X \in \mathcal{H}$.

where $D_Q(X)$ takes its values in $\mathcal{B}$ and vanishes on $\mathcal{H}_\mu$. Moreover $D_Q(X) = X$ for $X \in \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. Right translations of an element of N_μ by elements of H always give elements on N_μ on which the decomposition is unique. Hence $h_2(Q\exp(tX)) = h_2(Q).\exp(tX)$ directly leading to $\nabla_g h_2\left(X\right) = X$ on $\mathcal{S}$. Moreover due to Proposition (4.2) we have $h_2(hg) = d_g(h)h_2(g)$ with $d_g(h) \in B$ and $d_g(h) = 1$ if $(g, \xi) \in N_\mu$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}_\mu$. Taking h infinitesimal yields the result. Finally if $X \in \mathcal{B}$ we have $D_Q(X) = \nabla_g h_2\left(X\right) = X$ since Q and X commute.

Let us notice that equation (4.12) is identically satisfied for any V_R as it should be since the isotropy group is $H_\mu \times H$. As a matter of fact $[X_R, V_R] \in \mathcal{H}$ while $L \in \mathcal{K}^*$ and $\nabla_g h_2\left(X_R\right) - X_R = 0$ by Lemma (4.1).

On the other hand equation (4.11) reads:

$$< \mu\,,\, [X, V_Z] >=< L\,,\, [\,Z, D_Q(X)\,] > \tag{4.13}$$

This is exactly equation (2.9) for the appropriate function F_Z and its solution is given by:

$$V_Z = \hat{\mu}^{-1}(\lambda_Z) \text{ where } \lambda_Z :\ X \rightarrow < L\,,\, [Z, D_Q(X)] > \tag{4.14}$$

Here V_Z is an element of $(\mathcal{H}/\mathcal{H}_\mu)$ depending linearly on Z.

We are now in a position to prove the existence of an R-matrix for the Calogero model.

Theorem 4.1 *There exists a linear mapping $R :\ \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that:*

$$\{\, L(X)\,,\, L(Y)\,\}_{\text{reduced}} = L\left(\,[X, RY] + [RX, Y]\,\right) \tag{4.15}$$

and R is given by:

$$R\left(X\right) = \nabla_g h_2\left(X\right) + \frac{1}{2} D_Q\left(V_X\right) \tag{4.16}$$

Hence the Calogero model is integrable.

Proof. One uses the equation (2.13) and first compute the unreduced Poisson bracket $\{F_X, F_Y\}$. Using equations (2.17,2.18,2.19) one gets:

$$\{\xi(h_2^{-1}Xh_2), \xi(h_2^{-1}Yh_2)\} = -\xi(h_2^{-1}[X,Y]h_2) \\ +\xi\left(h_2^{-1}\left([X, \nabla_g h_2(h_2^{-1}Yh_2)h_2^{-1}] + [\nabla_g h_2(h_2^{-1}Xh_2)h_2^{-1}, Y]\right)h_2\right)$$

Taking the value of this expression on $\mathcal{S}$ yields:

$$\{F_X, F_Y\}_{|\mathcal{S}} = < L, [X, \nabla_g h_2(Y)] + [\nabla_g h_2(X), Y] > \tag{4.17}$$

Here we have taken into account the fact that $X, Y \in \mathcal{K}$ hence $[X,Y] \in \mathcal{H}$ and therefore $L([X,Y]) = 0$ since $L \in \mathcal{K}^*$. We now evaluate the second term. Replacing $(V_Y.p).F_X = \lambda_{F_X}(V_Y)$ in equation (2.13) by its expression (4.14) gives:

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{F_X}(V_Y) - \lambda_{F_Y}(V_X)\right) = \frac{1}{2} < L, [X, D_Q(V_Y)] + [D_Q(V_X), Y] >$$

Adding the two terms yields the result.

Of course, due to the general theory $L([X,RY] + [RX,Y])$ does not depend on the choice of the extension of h_2 out of N_μ but the R–matrix depends on it through the choice of the function D_Q. In order to get a simple form it is convenient to fix the choice of this function. As a consequence of Lemma (4.1) all the indetermination reduces to the value of D_Q on the subspace $\mathcal{C}$ introduced in eq. (4.5), and this can be chosen arbitrarily since $X.p$ is not tangent to N_μ when $p \in \mathcal{S}$ and $X \in \mathcal{C}$. The most natural choice is:

$$D_Q(X) = 0, \quad X \in \mathcal{C} \tag{4.18}$$

This choice has the important consequence:

Proposition 4.4 *For any $Z \in \mathcal{K}$ we have $V_Z \in \mathcal{C}$ hence $D_Q(V_Z) = 0$. The R–matrix is then simply given by:*

$$RZ = \nabla_g h_2(Z) \tag{4.19}$$

Proof. Since V_Z is defined by the equation (4.13) we see that $\mu([X, V_Z]) = 0 \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{C}$. But $\mathcal{C}$ is a maximal isotropic subspace hence $V_Z \in \mathcal{C}$.

To proceed we need to compute the variation of the function $h_2(g)$ induced by a variation of g. It is given in the:

Proposition 4.5 *On the section $\mathcal{S}$ with $Q = \exp(q) \in A$ we have:*
For $X \in \mathcal{K}$ i.e. $X = X_0 + \sum X_\alpha(e_\alpha - \sigma e_\alpha)$, $X_0 \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\nabla_g h_2(X) = -h_0(X) + \sum_\alpha X_\alpha \coth(\alpha(q))(e_\alpha + \sigma e_\alpha) \tag{4.20}$$

Here $h_0(X)$ is a linear function from $\mathcal{G}$ to $\mathcal{B}$ which is fixed by the condition:

$$X_L \equiv h_0(X) - \sum_\alpha \frac{X_\alpha}{\sinh \alpha(q)}(e_\alpha + \sigma e_\alpha) \in \mathcal{H}_\mu \oplus \mathcal{C} \tag{4.21}$$

Proof. Since according to Proposition (4.2) any group element can be written in the form $g = h_1(g)Q(g)h_2(g)$ any tangent vector v to G at Q can be written *uniquely* as:

$$v = X_L.Q + Q.T + Q.X_R \text{ with } X_L \in \mathcal{H}_\mu \oplus \mathcal{C},\ T \in \mathcal{A},\ X_R \in \mathcal{H} \tag{4.22}$$

Let us remark that $X_L = \nabla_g h_1(Q^{-1}v)$, $X_R = \nabla_g h_2(Q^{-1}v)$. If $v = X.Q$ with $X \in \mathcal{B}$ we have $X_L = 0$, $T = 0$, $X_R = X$ as in Lemma (4.1). On the other hand if $v = X.Q$ with $X \in \mathcal{C}$ we take $X_L = X$, $T = 0, X_R = 0$. Comparing with Lemma (4.1) we see that this is equivalent to $D_Q(X) = 0$, $\forall X \in \mathcal{C}$ and we are in the situation described in equation (4.18). If $v = X.Q$ with $X \in \mathcal{H}_\mu$ we have $X_L = X$, $T = 0, X_R = 0$. If $v = Q.X$ with $X \in \mathcal{H}$ one has $X_L = 0$, $T = 0$, $X_R = X$ and finally if $v = Q.T$ with $T \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $X_L = 0$, $X_R = 0$. We have completely described $\nabla_g h_1$ and $\nabla_g h_2$ at the point Q and we have found that that the choice (4.18) is equivalent to $\nabla_g h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_\mu \oplus \mathcal{C}$.

Let us now assume that $v = Q.X$ with $X \in \mathcal{K}$. Decomposing v as in equation (4.22) we get $X = Q^{-1}.X_L.Q + T + X_R$ and writing $X_L = h_0(X) + \sum_\alpha h_\alpha(X)\,(e_\alpha + \sigma e_\alpha)$ we have:

$$Q^{-1}.X_L.Q = h_0 + \sum_\alpha h_\alpha \cosh\alpha(q)\,(e_\alpha + \sigma e_\alpha) - \sum_\alpha h_\alpha \sinh\alpha(q)\,(e_\alpha - \sigma e_\alpha)$$

so that projecting on $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ yields:

$$\begin{aligned} X &= -\sum_\alpha h_\alpha \sinh\alpha(q)\,(e_\alpha - \sigma e_\alpha) + T \\ 0 &= h_0 + \sum_\alpha h_\alpha \cosh\alpha(q)\,(e_\alpha + \sigma e_\alpha) + X_R \end{aligned}$$

This system is uniquely solved by:

$$T = X_0 \quad h_\alpha(X) = -\frac{X_\alpha}{\sinh\alpha(q)} \quad X_R = -h_0(X) + \sum_\alpha X_\alpha \coth(\alpha(q))(e_\alpha + \sigma e_\alpha)$$

Notice that h_0 is uniquely determined by the condition $X_L \in \mathcal{H}_\mu \oplus \mathcal{C}$ knowing the h_α, since X_L is uniquely determined in equation (4.22). This fixes the R–matrix corresponding to the choice (4.18). We shall in the next section compute R in a concrete case by applying Proposition (4.5).

5 The R–matrix of the standard Calogero model

The standard Calogero model can be obtained as above starting from the non compact group $G = SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ and its maximal compact subgroup $H = SU(n)$ as first shown by [14]. We choose the momentum μ_L as described in Section (3.1) so that the isotropy group H_μ be a maximal proper Lie subgroup of H. Obviously one can take μ_L of the form:

$$\mu_L = i\,(vv^+ - 1) \tag{5.1}$$

where v is a vector in $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that $v^+v = 1$, hence μ_L is a traceless antihermitian matrix. Then $g\mu_L g^{-1} = \mu_L$ if and only if $gv = cv$ where c is a complex number of modulus 1. Hence $H_\mu = S(U(n-1) \times U(1))$ which has the above–stated property.

In this case the automorphism σ is given by $\sigma(g) = (g^+)^{-1}$ (notice that we consider only the real Lie group structure), B is the group of diagonal matrices of determinant 1 with

pure phases on the diagonal and A is the group of real diagonal matrices with determinant 1. The property (4.4) is then satisfied as soon as the vector v has no zero component. As a matter of fact, v is further constrained by μ_L being a value of the moment map. Considering equation (4.8) we see that μ_L has no diagonal element, which implies that all the components of v are pure phases $v_j = \exp(i\theta_j)$. These extra phases which will appear in the Lax matrix can however be conjugated out by the adjoint action of a constant matrix $\mathrm{diag}\,(\exp(i\theta_j))$ hence we shall from now on set $v_j = 1$ for all j. This is the solution first considered by Olshanetskii and Perelomov.

We now show that the reduced phase space has the correct dimension $2\dim\mathcal{A} = 2\dim\mathcal{B}$. Counting *real* parameters any $g \in SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ involves $(2n^2-2)$ parameters (notice that $\det g = 1$ gives 2 conditions) so that T^*G involves $2(2n^2-2)$ parameters. The surface N_μ in T^*G is defined by $2\dim\mathcal{H}$ equations namely $P_{\mathcal{H}^*}\xi = 0$ and $P_{\mathcal{H}^*}\,\mathrm{Ad}^*_g\xi = \mu_L$. Since $\dim\mathcal{H} = n^2-1$ we see that N_μ is of dimension $(2n^2-2)$. Finally H_μ is of dimension $(n-1)^2-1+1$ and $H_\mu \times H$ of dimension $(2n^2-2n)$, hence the reduced phase space is of dimension $(2n-2)$ which exactly corresponds to the Calogero model.

Proposition 5.1 *We have:*

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu = \{M|\ M^+ = -M,\ \mathrm{Tr}\,M = 0,\ Mv = 0\} \oplus i\mathbb{R}\,\mu_{\mathbf{L}}$$

One can take $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{H}_\mu \oplus \mathcal{B})^\perp$ *(here we take the orthogonal under the Killing form) so that:*

$$\mathcal{C} = \{M|\ M^+ = -M,\ M_{ij} = u_i - u_j,\ u_i \in \mathbb{R},\ \sum u_i = 0\}$$

Finally $\mathcal{B}$ *and* $\mathcal{C}$ *are a pair of maximal isotropic subspaces of* $\mathcal{H}/\mathcal{H}_\mu$.

Proof. First of all $Mv = 0$ is equivalent to $v^TM = 0$ since v is real and the Killing form is simply $(X,Y) = \mathrm{Tr}(XY)$. Hence the orthogonal of the space of matrices such that $Mv = 0$ is the space $\{(uv^T - vu^T)|\ \forall u \in \mathbb{C}^n\}$. We then ask that such a matrix be orthogonal to any element of $\mathcal{B}$. This immediately gives $u_i - u_i^* = \lambda\ \forall i$. Finally we ask that this matrix be orthogonal to μ_L. This implies $\lambda = 0$. Hence this matrix takes the form $M_{ij} = u_i - u_j\ u_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and one can set $\sum u_i = 0$.

The skew–symmetric form χ on $\mathcal{B}\oplus\mathcal{C}$ can be written:

$$\chi\,(X,Y) = <\mu_L, [X,Y]> = iv^+[X,Y]v$$

leading to:

$$\chi\,(X,Y) = 2in\sum_i\,(\rho_i\gamma_i - \kappa_i\beta_i)$$

where $X = \rho+\beta$, $Y = \kappa+\gamma$, $\rho_{ij} = \rho_i\delta_{ij}$, $\beta_{ij} = \beta_i - \beta_j$, $\kappa_{ij} = \kappa_i\delta_{ij}$, $\gamma_{ij} = \gamma_i - \gamma_j$ and $\sum\rho_i = \sum\beta_i = \sum\kappa_i = \sum_i\gamma_i = 0$. Hence $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are a pair of complementary Lagrangian subspaces.

The root vectors appearing in the radicial decomposition (4.2) are the E_{kl} and iE_{kl} with $k \neq l$ where $(E_{kl})_{ab} = \delta_{ka}\delta_{lb}$ and $\sigma(E_{kl}) = -E_{lk},\ \sigma(iE_{kl}) = iE_{lk}$. In this basis μ_L given by (5.1) reads $\mu_L = \sum_{k<l}(iE_{kl} + iE_{lk})$.

The Lax matrix L is then given by Proposition (4.3) and therefore

$$L = p + \sum_{k<l}\,\frac{1}{\sinh(q_k - q_l)}(iE_{kl} - iE_{lk})$$

More explicitely:

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & & \frac{i}{\sinh(q_i-q_j)} \\ & \ddots & \\ \frac{i}{\sinh(q_j-q_i)} & & p_n \end{pmatrix}$$

The R–matrix can now be deduced straightforwardly from Proposition (4.5). We compute RX for $X \in \mathcal{K}$ of the form:

$$X = \sum_{k<l} x_{kl}(E_{kl} + E_{lk}) + \sum_{k<l} y_{kl}(iE_{kl} - iE_{lk}) + \sum_k z_k E_{kk}, \quad x_{kl},\, y_{kl},\, z_k \in \mathbb{R}$$

The element X_L appearing in equation (4.21) reads:

$$X_L = h_0(X) + \sum_{k<l} \frac{1}{\sinh(q_k - q_l)} \Big[-(x_{kl} + iy_{kl})E_{kl} + (x_{kl} - iy_{kl})E_{lk} \Big]$$

where h_0 is a pure imaginary diagonal traceless matrix. The matrix X_L belongs to $\mathcal{H}_\mu \oplus \mathcal{C}$ and this condition uniquely determines h_0. Since the action of an element $M_{ij} = u_i - u_j$ of $\mathcal{C}$ on the vector v definining μ_L is $Mv = nu$ and the action of an element of $\mathcal{H}_\mu$ on v gives $i\theta v,\ \theta \in \mathbb{R}$ we get the condition $\sum_l (X_L)_{kl} = i\theta + nu_k$. Separating the real and imaginary parts in this equation the real part immediately determines the u_i which are of no concern to us, and the imaginary part gives:

$$h_0(X)_{kk} = i\theta + i\sum_{l>k} \frac{y_{kl}}{\sinh(q_k - q_l)} - i\sum_{l<k} \frac{y_{lk}}{\sinh(q_k - q_l)}$$

Of course θ is determined by $\sum_k (h_0)_{kk} = 0$. Finally equation (4.20) produces the R–matrix:

$$RX = -h_0(X) + \sum_{k<l} \coth(q_k - q_l) \Big[(x_{kl} + iy_{kl})E_{kl} - (x_{kl} - iy_{kl})E_{lk} \Big] \tag{5.2}$$

In order to recognize the form of the R–matrix first found in [17] we write $RX = \mathrm{Tr}_2\, R_{12}.\mathbf{1} \otimes X$ and we find:

$$R_{12} = \sum_{k\neq l} \coth(q_k - q_l) E_{kl} \otimes E_{lk} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k\neq l} \frac{1}{\sinh(q_k - q_l)} (E_{kk} - \frac{1}{n}\,\mathbf{1}) \otimes (E_{kl} - E_{lk})$$

This is exactly the correct R–matrix of the Calogero model for the potential $1/\sinh(x)$, and the other potentials $1/\sin(x)$ and $1/x$ have similar R–matrices obtained by analytic continuation.

6 The R–matrix of the $SU(n,n)$ Calogero model.

The $SU(n,n)$ Calogero model is obtained by starting from the non compact group $G = SU(n,n)$. This is the subgroup of $SL(2n,\mathbb{C})$ which leaves invariant the sesquilinear quadratic form defined by

$$Q((u_1,v_1),(u_2,v_2)) = \begin{pmatrix} u_1^+ & v_1^+ \end{pmatrix} J \begin{pmatrix} u_2 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} = u_1^+ v_2 + v_1^+ u_2 \tag{6.1}$$

where u_i, v_i are vectors in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and J is the matrix

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Lie algebra of $SU(n,n)$ therefore consists of block matrices

$$\mathcal{G} = \{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} | a = -d^+,\ \mathrm{Tr}\,(a+d) = 0,\ b^+ = -b,\ c^+ = -c\} \tag{6.2}$$

where a, b, c, d are $n \times n$ complex matrices.

We consider again the automorphism $\sigma : \sigma(g) = (g^+)^{-1}$, which can be consistently restricted to $SU(n,n)$. Its fixed points at the Lie algebra level consist of block matrices

$$\mathcal{H} = \{\begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ c & a \end{pmatrix} | a^+ = -a,\ \mathrm{Tr}\,(a) = 0,\ c^+ = -c\} \tag{6.3}$$

This Lie algebra is isomorphic to the Lie algebra of $S(U(n) \times U(n))$, the two $u(n)$'s being realized respectively by $a + c$ and $a - c$.

The subalgebra $\mathcal{B}$ consists of matrices of the form (6.3) with $c = 0$, and a is a diagonal matrix of zero trace and purely imaginary coefficients. The Abelian subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ consists of matrices of the form (6.2) with $b = c = 0$ and $a = -d$ is a real diagonal matrix.

To perform the reduction, we choose as above $\mu^R = 0$ and

$$\mu^L = i(vv^+ - \mathbf{1}) + i\gamma J \tag{6.4}$$

The vector v has $2n$ components all equal to 1, and J is the matrix defining the quadratic form (6.1). Remark that J is invariant under the adjoint action of $\mathcal{H}$. Then $g\mu^L g^{-1} = \mu^L\ \forall g \in H$ is equivalent to $gv = e^{i\theta}v$. Writing an element of $\mathcal{H}$ as

$$\begin{pmatrix} u+w & u-w+i\lambda\mathbf{1} \\ u-w+i\lambda\mathbf{1} & u+w \end{pmatrix};\ u^+ = -u,\ w^+ = -w;\ \mathrm{Tr}\,(u) = \mathrm{Tr}\,(w) = 0.$$

the subalgebra $\mathcal{H}_\mu$ consists of matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{u}+w & \tilde{u}-w+i\lambda\mathbf{1} \\ \tilde{u}-w+i\lambda\mathbf{1} & \tilde{u}+w \end{pmatrix};\ \tilde{u}^+ = -u,\ w^+ = -w;\ \mathrm{Tr}\,(\tilde{u}) = \mathrm{Tr}\,(w) = 0. \tag{6.5}$$

and $\tilde{u}\tilde{v} = i\theta\tilde{v}$ where $\tilde{v}$ is an n component vector with all entries equal to one. This brings us back to the $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ case.

Hence $H_\mu = SU(n-1) \times U(1) \times SU(n) \times U(1)$. The factor $SU(n) \times U(1)$ is generated by $w + i\lambda\mathbf{1}$, while the factor generated by $SU(n-1) \times U(1)$ is generated by $\tilde{u}$. The isotropy group H_μ is indeed a maximal subgroup of $S(U(n) \times U(n))$.

Notice that in equation (6.4) the parameter γ is an arbitrary real number. This will lead to existence of a second coupling constant in the corresponding Calogero model.

We now compute the dimension of the reduced phase space. The real dimension of $SU(n,n)$ is $4n^2 - 1$, and so $\dim T^*G = 8n^2 - 2$. The dimension of $H = S(U(n) \times U(n)$ is $2n^2 - 1$. Hence, the dimension of $\mathcal{N}_\mu$ is $4n^2$. Now $\dim G_\mu = 4n^2 - 2n$ and therefore the dimension of the phase space is $2n = 2\dim\mathcal{A}$ as it should.

Proposition 6.1 *We have the decomposition*

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_\mu \oplus \mathcal{B} \oplus \mathcal{C}$$

where one can take $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{H}_\mu \oplus \mathcal{B})^\perp$ *so that*

$$\mathcal{C} = \{M | M = \begin{pmatrix} c & c \\ c & c \end{pmatrix};\ c_{ij} = u_i - u_j,\ u_i \in \mathbb{R},\ \sum u_i = 0.\}$$

Moreover $\mathcal{B}$ *and* $\mathcal{C}$ *are a pair of maximally isotropic subspaces of the bilinear form* χ *defined in (2.11).*

Proof. In the parametrization (6.5) of $\mathcal{H}$ we decompose the matrix u according to proposition (5.1)

$$u = \tilde{u} + iD + c$$

where $\tilde{u}\tilde{v} = i\theta\tilde{v}$, D is a real traceless diagonal matrix and $c_{ij} = u_i - u_j$ with u_i real. Hence, any element of $\mathcal{H}$ can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{u} + w' & \tilde{u} - w' + i\lambda\mathbf{1} \\ \tilde{u} - w' + i\lambda\mathbf{1} & \tilde{u} + w' \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2iD & 0 \\ 0 & 2iD \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} c & c \\ c & c \end{pmatrix}$$

where $w' = w - iD$. The first matrix parametrizes $\mathcal{H}_\mu$ as in equation (6.5). The second matrix parametrizes $\mathcal{B}$ and the third matrix parametrizes a supplementary subspace $\mathcal{C}$ of dimension $n-1$. The rest of the proof is identical to the $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ case.

The root vectors in (4.2) are $(i, j = 1 \cdots n)$:

$$\begin{array}{ll} E_{ij} - E_{j+n,i+n} & iE_{ij} + iE_{j+n,i+n} \quad i \neq j \\ E_{i,j+n} - E_{j,i+n} & iE_{i,j+n} + iE_{j,i+n} \\ E_{i+n,j} - E_{j+n,i} & iE_{i+n,j} + iE_{j+n,i} \end{array}$$

The automorphism σ is the same as before: $\sigma(E_{kl}) = -E_{lk}$ and $\sigma(iE_{kl}) = iE_{lk}$. In this basis the momentum μ_L reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_L &= \sum_{i<j}(1+\sigma)(iE_{ij} + iE_{j+n,i+n}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i<j}(1+\sigma)(iE_{i,j+n} + iE_{j,i+n}) \\ &\quad + (\gamma+1)\sum_i (1+\sigma)(iE_{i,i+n}) \end{aligned}$$

Then, from proposition (4.3), the Lax matrix becomes

$$\begin{aligned} L &= p + \sum_{i<j} \frac{1}{\sinh(q_i - q_j)}(1-\sigma)(iE_{ij} + iE_{j+n,i+n}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i<j} \frac{1}{\sinh(q_i + q_j)}(1-\sigma)(iE_{i,j+n} + iE_{j,i+n}) \\ &\quad + (\gamma+1)\sum_i \frac{1}{\sinh(2q_i)}(1-\sigma)(iE_{i,i+n}) \end{aligned}$$

where p is a generic element of $\mathcal{A}$ of the form $\operatorname{diag} p_i, -\operatorname{diag} p_i$.

The R-matrix is then computed straightforwardly:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{12} &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k\neq l}\coth(q_k-q_l)(E_{kl}+E_{k+n,l+n})\otimes(E_{lk}-E_{l+n,k+n}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k,l}\coth(q_k+q_l)(E_{k,l+n}+E_{k+n,l})\otimes(E_{l+n,k}-E_{l,k+n}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k\neq l}\frac{1}{\sinh(q_k-q_l)}(E_{kk}+E_{k+n,k+n}-\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{1})\otimes(E_{kl}-E_{k+n,l+n}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k,l}\frac{1}{\sinh(q_k+q_l)}(E_{kk}+E_{k+n,k+n}-\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{1})\otimes(E_{k,l+n}-E_{k+n,l}) \end{aligned}$$

Using this result, one can compute the M operator in the Lax equation from formula (1.2). We get

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \sum_{k\neq l}\frac{\cosh(q_k-q_l)}{\sinh^2(q_k-q_l)}(E_{kl}+E_{k+n,l+n})+\sum_{k,l}\frac{\cosh(q_k+q_l)}{\sinh^2(q_k+q_l)}(E_{k,l+n}+E_{k+n,l}) \\ &+ \gamma\sum_k\frac{\cosh(2q_k)}{\sinh^2(2q_k)}(E_{k,k+n}+E_{k+n,k}) \\ &+ \sum_{k\neq l}\left(\frac{1}{\sinh^2(q_k-q_l)}+\frac{1}{\sinh^2(q_k+q_l)}\right)(E_{kk}+E_{k+n,k+n}-\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{1}) \\ &+ \gamma\sum_k\frac{1}{\sinh^2(2q_k)}(E_{kk}+E_{k+n,k+n}-\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{1}) \end{aligned}$$

This is precisely the M matrix found by Olshanetsky and Perelomov [15].

References

[1] E.K. Sklyanin, L.A. Takhtadjan, L.D. Faddeev, *"Quantum Inverse Problem Method.I."* Theor.Math.Phys. 40 (1979) pp.194-220.

[2] E.K. Sklyanin. *"On the complete integrability of the Landau-Lifchitz equation"*. Preprint LOMI E-3-79. Leningrad 1979.

[3] M. Semenov Tian Shansky. *"What is a classical r-matrix"*. Funct. Anal. and its Appl. 17, 4 (1983), p. 17.

[4] L.D. Faddeev, *"Integrable Models in 1+1 Dimensional Quantum Field Theory"*. Les Houches Lectures 1982. Elsevier Science Publishers (1984).

[5] L.D. Faddeev, L.A. Takhtajan. **"Hamiltonian Methods in the Theory of Solitons"**. Springer 1986.

[6] P.D. Lax. *"Integrals of non linear equations of evolution and solitary waves"*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 21 (1968), p. 467.

[7] V. Arnold. **"Méthodes mathématiques de la mécanique classique"**. MIR 1976. Moscou.

[8] R. Abraham, J.E. Marsden. **"Foundations of Mechanics"**. Benjamin/Cummings 1978.

[9] O. Babelon, C.M. Viallet *"Hamiltonian Structures and Lax Equations"* Phys.Lett. 237B (1989) 411.

[10] J.M. Maillet. *"Kac-Moody algebra and extended Yang-Baxter relations in the $O(n)$ non-linear σ-model"* Phys. Lett. B162 , (1985), p. 137;

[11] F. Calogero, *"Exactly Solvable One-Dimensional Many-Body Problems."* Lett.Nuovo Cim. 13 (1975) pp.411-416.

[12] F. Calogero, *"On a functional equation connected with integrable many-body problems."* Lett.Nuovo Cim. 16 (1976) pp.77-80.

[13] J. Moser, *"Three integrable Hamiltonian systems connected with isospectral deformations."* Adv.Math. 16 (1975) pp.1-23.

[14] M.A. Olshanetsky, A.M. Perelomov. *"Completely integrable systems connected with semi-simple Lie algebras"*. Inv. Math. 37 (1976), p. 93.

[15] M.A. Olshanetsky, A.M. Perelomov, *"Classical Integrable Finite Dimensional Systems Related to Lie Algebras."* Physics Reports 71 (1981) pp.313-400.

[16] D. Kazhdan, B. Kostant, S. Sternberg, *"Hamiltonian Group Actions and Dynamical Systems of Calogero Type."* Commun. on Pure and Appl. Math. 31 (1978) pp.481-507.

[17] J. Avan, M. Talon, *"Classical R-matrix Structure for the Calogero Model"*. Phys.Lett. B303 (1993) pp.33-37.

[18] I.M. Krichever, *"Elliptic solutions of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation and many body problems."* Func.Anal.Appl. 14 (1980) p.45.

[19] E.K. Sklyanin, private communication.

[20] L.A. Ferreira, D.I. Olive, *"Non-Compact Symmetric Spaces and the Toda Molecule Equations"*. Commun.Math.Phys. 99 (1985) pp.365-384.

[21] A.A. Belavin, V.G. Drinfeld. *"Solutions of the classical Yang-Baxter equations for simple Lie algebras"*. Funct. Anal. and its Appl. 16, 3 (1982), p. 159, and 17, 3 (1983), p.220.

[22] D. Olive, N. Turok. *"Algebraic structure of Toda systems"*. Nucl. Phys. B220 FS8 (1983), p.491.

[23] A.A. Belavin, V.G. Drinfeld. *"Triangle equations and simple Lie algebras"*. Soviet Scientific Reviews C4 (1984), p.93.

[24] N.Yu. Reshetikin, L.D. Faddeev. *"Hamiltonian structures for integrable models of field theory"*. Theor. Math. Phys. 56 (1983), p. 847.

[25] J. Avan, M. Talon, *"Graded R-matrices for integrable systems."* Nucl. Phys. B352 (1991) pp.215-249.